

To:

Jeffrey M. South

Attn: Jeffrey P. Myers

From:

Maureen M. Addis

Subject:

Pavement Design Approval

Date:

July 25, 2017

Route: FAP 62 (IL 125)

Job No.:

D-96-114-07

Section: W(RS-6), 106RS-5

Contract No.: 72B37

County: Sangamon

We have reviewed the pavement design for the above referenced project which was submitted on June 26, 2017. The scope of the project is raising the profile of four sag vertical curves from 1' to 4'; with partial depth HMA patching, milling, and resurfacing on the remainder of the section.

We concur with the District's opinion this is a "special design" and approve the use of HMA to raise the profile of the vertical curves.

If you have any questions, please contact Mike Brand at (217) 782-7651.



To:

Maureen Addis

Jeffrey M. South

Subject:

From:

Pavement Design

Date:

June 26, 2017

FAP 62 (IL Route 125) Section W(RS-6), 106RS-5 Sangamon County D-96-114-07 Contract 72B37

Attached for your review is the pavement design for the above-referenced section. This section of roadway contains four vertical curves that do not meet current policy. Proposed work includes raising the current profile through the sag vertical curves, with grade raises ranging from 1' to 4'.

Attn: Mike Brand

By: Jeffrey P. Myers Afm

Several options were considered for the new pavement with the results as follows (based on mechanistic design):

Full-Depth HMA JPCP 10.00 inch 8.50 inch

The district considered the following issues:

- Closing the road is not recommended due to the presence of numerous homes and businesses along the route.
- Staging the work would be costly due to the narrow corridor and safety requirements of barrier wall with a drop off of this height.
- Using Granular Embankment to gradually raise both lanes is not recommended due to the volume and types of traffic. The current average ADT is 5,500 with high AM/PM peak traffic. The HCV is 14%.
- The District 6 Construction staff recommendation was to stage the work using HMA to gradually raise the profile.

The district proposes to use HMA pavement to raise the profile through these vertical curves. HMA would be placed on alternating lanes to gradually raise the profile under traffic, with the new HMA pavement placed directly on the existing HMA pavement. Because the grade raise would be done entirely with HMA, the 10.00 inch pavement design would be exceeded in this design.

Maureen Addis Pavement Design June 26, 2017 Page Two

Due to the above limitations on the proposed work, the district requests that this pavement design be considered a special design and that the requirement for a Life Cycle Cost Analysis be waived.

This project is scheduled for the November 2018 letting.

If you have any questions or require additional information, please contact Jay Edwards at (217) 785-0597 or jay.edwards@illinois.gov.

Enclosures

2019

20

3.17

years

Subgrade Support Rating (SSR):

Construction Year:

Design Period (DP) =

TF flexible (Min) =

	Minimum	Actual	Actual %of	% of ADT in
	ADT	ADT	Total ADT	Design Lane
PV =	0	6,943	94.0%	P = 50%
SU =	250	259	3.5%	S = 50%
MU =	750	185	2.5%	M = 50%
Struct. D	esign ADT =	7,386	(2029)	
	•		•	

TF rigid (Min) =

Year 2015

2035

Printed: 10/25/2017

TRAFFIC FACTOR CALCULATION

FLEXIBLE PAVEMENT RIGID PAVEMENT Cpv = 0.15 Cpv = 0.15 Csu = 112.06 Csu = 135.78

Cmu = 385.44 Cmu = 567.21 TF flexible (Actual) = 1.01 (Actual ADT) TF rigid (Actual) = 1.41 (Actual ADT)

	NEW CONSTRUCTION	/ RECON	NSTRUCTION PAVEME	NT DESIGN CALC	JLATIC	NS
	Full-De	pth HMA Pa	vement	JPC Pavement		
	Use TF flexible =	3.17		Use TF rigid =	4.59	
	PG Grade Lower Binder Lifts =	PG 64-22	(Fig. 53-4.R)	Edge Support =	Tied	Shoulder or C.&G.
Goto Map	HMA Mixture Temp. =	78.0	deg. F (Fig. 54-5.C)	Rigid Pavt Thick. =	8.50	in. (Fig. 54-4.F)
	Design HMA Mixture Modulus (E_{HMA}) =	610	ksi (Fig. 54-5.D)			
	Design HMA Strain $(\epsilon_{HMA}) =$	86	(Fig. 54-5.E)	(CRC Pave	ment
	Full Depth HMA Design Thickness =	10.00	in. (Fig. 54-5.G)	Use TF rigid =	4.59	
Goto Map	Limiting Strain Criterion Thickness =	16.00	in. (Fig. 54-5.I)	IBR value =	3	
	Use Full-Depth HMA Thickness =	10.00	inches	CRCP Thickness =	7.75	in. (Fig. 54-4.N)

(Min ADT Fig. 54-2.C)

TF MUST BE > 60 FOR CRCP

4.59

(Min ADT Fig. 54-2.C)

	RECONSTRUCTION ONLY (SUPPLEMENTAL) PAVEMENT DESIGN CALCULATIONS					
	HMA Over	lay of Rubl	olized PCC	Unbonded Concrete Overlay		
	Use TF flexible =	3.17		Review 54-4.03 for limitations and		
	HMA Overlay Design Thickness =	7.75	in. (Fig. 54-5.U)	special considerations.		
Goto Map	Limiting Strain Criterion Thickness =		in. (Fig. 54-5.V)	opodal odiloladiano.		
	Use HMA Overlay Thickness =	999.00	inches	JPCP Thickness = NA inches		

CONTACT BMPR FOR ASSISTANCE

DESIGN TABLES FROM BDE MANUAL CHAPTER 54 - PAVEMENT DESIGN

I	Class I Roads	Class II Roads	Class III Roads	Class IV Roads
	4 lanes or more	2 lanes with ADT > 2000	2 Lanes	2 Lanes
	Part of a future 4 lanes or more	One way Street with ADT <= 3500	(ADT 750 -2000)	(ADT < 750)
	One-way Streets with ADT > 3500	•	· ·	

	Min. Str.	Design Traffic (Fig	54-2.C)
Facility Type	PV	SU	MU
Interstate or Freeway	0	500	1500
Other Marked State Route	0	250	750
Unmarked State Route	No Min	No Min	No Min

	T	raffic Factor ESA	L Coefficients	
	Rigid (F	ig. 54-4.C)	Flexible (Fig. 54-5.B)	
Class	Csu	Cmu	Csu	Cmu
	143.81	696.42	132.50	482.53
II	135.78	567.21	112.06	385.44
III	129.58	562.47	109.14	384.35
IV	129.58	562.47	109.14	384.35

Class Table for					
One-Way Streets					
ADT	Class				
0 - 3500					
>3501	1				

Class Table for				
2 or 3 lanes				
(not future 4 lane &				
not one-v	vay street)			
ADT	Class			
0 - 749	IV			
750 - 2000	III			
>2000	П			
>2000	- 11			

	Design L	Design Lane Distribution Factors For Structural Design Traffic (Fig. 54-2.B)					
		Rural			Urban		
Number of Lanes	Р	S	M	Р	S	M	
1 Lane Ramp	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
2 or 3	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%	
4	32%	45%	45%	32%	45%	45%	
6 or more	20%	40%	40%	8%	37%	37%	

BDE 5401 Template (Rev. 09/05/2013) Printed: 10/25/2017

LIFE-CYCLE COST ANALYSIS: NEW CONSTRUCTION / RECONSTRUCTION

FULL-DEPTH HMA	<u>PAVEMENT</u>			5	Standard Design
ROUTE SECTION COUNTY LOCATION		Job Route Job Section Job County Job Location	,		
FACILITY TYPE		INTERSTATE	<u> </u>		
PROJECT LENGTH # OF CENTERLINES # OF LANES # OF EDGES LANE WIDTH - AVERAGE SHOULDER WIDTH	HMA Inside HMA Outside Total Width of Paved Shor	2 4 4 12 6 10	FT ==> 0.7 CL LANES EP FT FT FT	9 Miles	
PAVEMENT THICKNESS SHOULDER THICKNESS POLICY OVERLAY THICKN		12.00 8.00 3.75	IN HMA_S	00 IN MAX SD Standard Design	
FLEX PAVEMENT TRAF	FIC FACTORS	MINIMUM	I ACTU	AL USE	
		7.11	1.	7.11	
				Read Me!	
HMA COST PER TON			UNIT PRIC		
HMA SURFACE HMA TOP BINDER				00 / TON 00 / TON	
HMA LOWER BINDER				00 / TON	
HMA BINDER (LEVELING))			OO / TON	
HMA SHOULDER			\$72.0	00 / TON	
INITIAL COSTS					
ITEM	THICKNESS	100% QUANTITY	UNIT UNIT PRI	CE COST	
HMA PAVEMENT (FULL-	DEPTH) (12.00")	5333 5,333	SQ YD \$59.6	52 / SQ YD \$317,988	
HMA SURFACE COURSE	(2.00")			00 / TON \$0	
HMA TOP BINDER COURS	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			00 /TON \$0 00 /TON \$0	
TIMA LOWER BINDER CO.	UNGE (1.13)	1.0304 2,443	10113 \$60.0	/ TOIN \$0	
					=
HMA SHOULDER	(8.00")				
CURB & GUTTER		0	LIN FT \$30.0	0 / LIN FT \$0	
SUBBASE GRAN MATL TY IMPROVED SUBGRADE:				/TON \$12,475 /SQ YD \$66,892	
Reserved For User Suppli	ad Itam	0	LINUTE CO.	00 / UNITS \$0	
Reserved For User Suppli				00 / UNITS \$0 00 / UNITS \$0	
PAVEMENT REMOVAL		5,333	SQ YD \$0.0	00 / SQ YD \$0	
SHOULDER REMOVAL		3,556	SQ YD \$0.0	00 / SQ YD \$0	
Note: * Denotes User Sup		FLEXIBLE CON LEXIBLE CONSTRUCTION	ISTRUCTION INITIAL CON ANNUAL COST PER MI		
MAINITENIANOE COCTO					
MAINTENANCE COSTS: ITEM	THICKNESS	MATERIAL	. T UNIT CO	ST	
ROUTINE MAINTENANCE				LANE-MILE / YEAR	
HMA OVERLAY PVMT SU	IRF (2.00")	1.0069 Surface Mix	c 2.00 \$10. 7	1 / SQ YD	
HMA OVERLAY PVMT SU	(3.75")			21 / SQ YD	
HMA SURFACE MIX	(1.50")			2 / SQ YD	
HMA BINDER MIX	(2.25")			9 / SQ YD	
HMA OVERLAY SHLD HMA OVERLAY SHLD	(Year 30) (1.75") (2.00")			06 / SQ YD 06 / SQ YD	
MILLING (2.00 IN)	(=:50)			00 / SQ YD	

Surface Mix 2.00 **\$80.64** / SQ YD

PARTIAL DEPTH PVMT PATCH (Mill & Fill Surf)

PARTIAL DEPTH SHLD PATCH	(Mill & Fill Surf)	Shoulder Mix	2.00	\$78.06	/ SQ YD		
PARTIAL DEPTH PVMT PATCH	(Mill & Fill +2.00 ")	Leveling Binder Mix	2.00	\$79.52			
PARTIAL DEPTH SHLD PATCH	(Mill & Fill +2.00 ")	Shoulder Mix	2.00	\$78.06	/ SQ YD		
LONGITUDINAL SHOULDER JOINT					/ LIN FT		
CENTERLINE JOINT ROUT & SEAL					/ LIN FT		
RANDOM / THERMAL CRACK ROU	T & SEAL	(100% Rehab = 110.00' / Station	/ Lane)	\$2.00	/ LIN FT		
		FLEXIBLE T	OTAL LIF	E-CYCLE COST		\$711,101	
		FLEXIBLE TOTAL A	ANNUAL (COST PER MILE		\$153,133	

JPCP							<u>IENT</u>	PCC PAVEME
				Job Route Job Section Job County ob Location	J			ROUTE SECTION COUNTY LOCATION
				NTERSTATE	I			FACILITY TYPE
	Miles	0.19	FT FT	2 4 4 12	lders	Inside Outside /idth of Paved Shouk	NES AVERAGE DTH PCC PCC	PROJECT LENGTI # OF CENTERLINE # OF LANES # OF EDGES LANE WIDTH - AV SHOULDER WIDT
		TIED SHLD		10.00 10.00	JPCP			PAVEMENT THICK SHOULDER THICK
			IN	3.75			AY THICKNESS	POLICY OVERLAY
USE		ACTUAL		MINIMUM		TORS	NT TRAFFIC FAC	RIGID PAVEMENT
10.05 JPCP		1.00 vement Type is	The Pa	10.05		New Construction	truction Type is	Worksheet Constru
COST		UNIT PRICE	UNIT	6 QUANTITY	100%	THICKNESS		INITIAL COSTS ITEM
\$266,650 \$0 \$114,000	/ SQ YD	\$50.00 \$22.00 \$19.00	SQ YD	5,333 0 6,000		(10.00") (4.00")	NFORCEMENT	JPC PAVEMENT PAVEMENT REINF STABILIZED SUBE
\$142,240 \$0	/ SQ YD / LIN FT	\$40.00 \$30.00	SQ YD LIN FT	3,556 0				PCC SHOULDERS
\$10,450 \$63,777	/ TON / SQ YD	\$25.00 \$7.00		418 9,111	Width = 82.0	(~ 3.48") Modified Soil		SUBBASE GRAN M MPROVED SUBG
\$0 \$0	/ UNITS / UNITS		UNITS UNITS				ser Supplied Item ser Supplied Item	
\$0 \$0	/ SQ YD / SQ YD			5,333 3,556				PAVEMENT REMO SHOULDER REMO
\$597,117 \$128,587		NINITIAL COST OST PER MILE			RIGID CON	antity	s User Supplied Qua	Note: * Denotes L
		UNIT COST	Т	MATERIAL		THICKNESS	COSTS:	MAINTENANCE C
/ YEAR	/ LANE-MILE						TENANCE ACTIVIT	
	/ SO VD	\$20.21	3.75 3.75		1.0130	(3.75")		HMA POLICY OVE
	/ SQ YD	\$8.02	1.50	Surface Mix	1.0052	(1.50")	RFACE MIX	HMA SURF
	/ SQ YD		2.25 3.75	Top Binder Mix Shoulder Mix	1.0182	(2.25")		HMA BINDE HMA POLICY OVE
	/ SQ YD	\$195.00 \$150.00 \$145.00					MENT PATCHING	CLASS A PAVEME CLASS B PAVEME CLASS C SHOULD
		\$77.98 \$77.98	1.50 1.50	Surface Mix Surface Mix		Mill & Fill HMA Surf) Mill & Fill HMA 1.50"		
	/ LIN FT / LIN FT						SHOULDER JOINT DINT ROUT & SEAI	
		\$2.00					RANSVERSE CRAC	

LIFE-CYCL	E COST ANALYS	IS: NEW DESIGN Calcu	ulated / Revised :	9/5/13 9:40 AM	
			JPCP	НМА	
CONSTRUCTION	INITIAL COST	PRESENT WORTH ANNUAL COST PER MILE	\$597,117 \$128,587	\$512,043 \$110,266	
MAINTENANCE	LIFE-CYCLE COST	PRESENT WORTH	\$130,146	\$199,058	
		ANNUAL COST PER MILE	\$28,026	\$42,866	
TOTAL	LIFE-CYCLE COST	PRESENT WORTH ANNUAL COST PER MILE	\$727,263 \$156,613	\$711,101 \$153,133	
		,	ψ.00,0.0	ψ.00,.00	
LIFE-CYCL	E COST ANALYS	IS: FINAL SUMMARY			
LIFE-CYCL		IS: FINAL SUMMARY	нма	\$153,133	

 $S:\ \ BDE 5401\ IDOT\ Mechanistic\ Pavement\ Design\ with\ LCCA\ 090513.xlsm] PDFS heets$

FULL-DEPTH HMA PAVEMENT HMA OVERLAY OF RUBBLIZED PCC PAVEMENT Figure 54-7.C STANDARD DESIGN

MAINTENANCE COSTS:	ITEM	SIA	NDARD DESI		LINUT	LINIT COST	COOT	PRESENT
MAINTENANCE COSTS:	ITEM		%	QUANTITY	UNII	UNIT COST	COST	WORTH
YEAR 5							*	
	LONG SHLD JT R&S		100.00%		LIN FT	\$2.00 \$2.00	\$8,000	
	CNTR LINE JOINT R&S RNDM / THRM CRACK R&S		100.00% 50.00%		LIN FT LIN FT	\$2.00	\$4,000 \$4,400	
	PD PVMT PATCH M&F SURF		0.10%		SQ YD	\$80.64	\$403	
		PWFn =	0.8626		PW =			\$14,494
YEAR 1	n I							1
I LIVIK I	LONG SHLD JT R&S		100.00%	4,000	LIN FT	\$2.00	\$8,000	
	CNTR LINE JOINT R&S		100.00%		LIN FT	\$2.00	\$4,000	
	RNDM / THRM CRACK R&S		50.00%		LIN FT	\$2.00	\$4,400	
	PD PVMT PATCH M&F SURF	PWFn =	0.50% 0.7441	27	SQ YD PW =	\$80.64 0.7441	\$2,177 X \$18,577	\$12 022
		PVVFII =	0.7441		PVV =	0.7441	Λ Φ10,577	\$13,823
YEAR 1	5							
	MILL PVMT & SHLD 2.00"	"	100.00%		SQ YD	\$3.00	\$26,667	
	PD PVMT PATCH M&F ADD'L HMA OVERLAY PVMT 2.00"	. 2.00"	1.00% 100.00%		SQ YD SQ YD	\$79.52 \$10.71	\$4,215 \$57,141	
	HMA OVERLAY SHLD 2.00 "		100.00%		SQ YD	\$8.06	\$28,672	
	C.L. CI CILD E.00	PWFn =	0.6419	0,000	PW =			\$74,902
	• 1							1
YEAR 2	0 LONG SHLD JT R&S		100.00%	4.000	LIN FT	\$2.00	\$8,000	
	CNTR LINE JOINT R&S		100.00%		LIN FT	\$2.00	\$8,000 \$4,000	
	RNDM / THRM CRACK R&S		50.00%		LIN FT	\$2.00	\$4,400	
	PD PVMT PATCH M&F SURF		0.10%		SQ YD	\$80.64	\$403	
		PWFn =	0.5537		PW =	0.5537	X \$16,803	\$9,303
YEAR 2	5 l							1
ILAN Z	LONG SHLD JT R&S		100.00%	4,000	LIN FT	\$2.00	\$8,000	
	CNTR LINE JOINT R&S		100.00%	2,000	LIN FT	\$2.00	\$4,000	
	RNDM / THRM CRACK R&S		50.00%		LIN FT	\$2.00	\$4,400	
	PD PVMT PATCH M&F SURF	PWFn =	0.50%	27	SQ YD PW =	\$80.64 0.4776	\$2,177 X \$18,577	\$8,872
	HMA_SD	1 77111 =	0.4770		1 VV =	0.4770	χ ψ10,577	ψ0,072
YEAR 3	0 INTERSTATE							
	MILL PVMT ONLY 2.00"	0.00	100.00%		SQ YD	\$3.00	\$15,999	
	PD PVMT PATCH M&F ADD'L		2.00%		SQ YD	\$79.52	\$8,509	
	PD SHLD PATCH M&F SURF HMA OVERLAY PVMT 3.75 "	2.00"	1.00% 100.00%		SQ YD SQ YD	\$78.06 \$20.21	\$2,810 \$107,785	
	HMA OVERLAY SHLD 1.75 "		100.00%		SQ YD	\$7.06	\$25,088	
		PWFn =	0.4120		PW =			\$65,997
VEAD	5 I							1
YEAR 3	LONG SHLD JT R&S		100.00%	4 000	LIN FT	\$2.00	\$8,000	
	CNTR LINE JOINT R&S		100.00%		LIN FT	\$2.00	\$4,000	
	RNDM / THRM CRACK R&S		50.00%		LIN FT	\$2.00	\$4,400	
	PD PVMT PATCH M&F SURF	5,115	0.10%	5	SQ YD	\$80.64	\$403	
		PWFn =	0.3554		PW =	0.3554	X \$16,803	\$5,972
YEAR 4	0							
	LONG SHLD JT R&S		100.00%		LIN FT	\$2.00	\$8,000	
	CNTR LINE JOINT R&S		100.00%		LIN FT	\$2.00	\$4,000	
	RNDM / THRM CRACK R&S PD PVMT PATCH M&F SURF		50.00% 0.50%		LIN FT SQ YD	\$2.00 \$80.64	\$4,400 \$2,177	
	I DEVIVILENTOR WAE SURF	PWFn =	0.3066	21	PW =			J \$5,695
							. ,	
								\$199,058
	ROUTINE MAINTENANCE ACT	TVITY		0.76	Lane Miles	0.00	\$0	\$0
	5 YEAR LIFE CYCLE	CDEn. 0.040	7052				LIFE-CYCLE COST	\$199,058 \$42,866
4	TEAK LIFE CYCLE	CRFn = 0.0407	7002		WAINTEN	ANCE ANNUA	L COST PER MILE	\$42,866

JOINTED PLAIN CONCRETE PAVEMENT UNBONDED JOINTED PLAIN CONCRETE OVERLAY Figure 54-7.A

						PRESENT
MAINTENANCE COSTS:	ITEM	%	QUANTITY UNIT	UNIT COST	COST	WORTH
V545 40						
YEAR 10		0.400/	5 00 VD	#450.00	Ф7 ГО	
	PAVEMENT PATCH CLASS B	0.10%	5 SQ YD	\$150.00	\$750	# 550
	PWFn =	0.7441	PW =	0.7441	X \$750	\$558
YEAR 15						
TEAR 15	PAVEMENT PATCH CLASS B	0.20%	11 SQ YD	\$150.00	\$1,650	
	PWFn =	0.6419	PW =			\$1,059
	1 *** 11 =	0.0413	1 VV -	- 0.0419	Λ ψ1,050	Ψ1,009
YEAR 20						
	PAVEMENT PATCH CLASS B	2.00%	107 SQ YD	\$150.00	\$16,050	
	SHOULDER PATCH CLASS C	0.50%	18 SQ YD	\$145.00	\$2,610	
	LONGITUDINAL SHLD JT R&S	100.00%	4,000 LIN FT	\$2.00	\$8,000	
	CENTERLINE JT R&S	100.00%	2,000 LIN FT	\$2.00	\$4,000	
	PWFn =	0.5537	PW =	0.5537	X \$30,660	\$16,976
YEAR 25					*	
	PAVEMENT PATCH CLASS B	3.00%	160 SQ YD	\$150.00	\$24,000	
	SHOULDER PATCH CLASS C	1.00%	36 SQ YD	\$145.00	\$5,220	
	PWFn =	0.4776	PW =	0.4776	X \$29,220	\$13,956
YEAR 30	INTERSTATE					
TEAR 30	PAVEMENT PATCH CLASS B	4.00%	213 SQ YD	\$150.00	\$31.950	
	SHOULDER PATCH CLASS C	1.50%	53 SQ YD	\$145.00	\$7,685	
	HMA POLICY OVERLAY 3.75" (PVMT)	100.00%	5,333 SQ YD	\$20.21	\$107,785	
	HMA POLICY OVERLAY 3.75" (SHLD)	100.00%	3,556 SQ YD	\$15.12	\$53,760	
	PWFn =	0.4120	PW =			\$82,883
				*****		4 0=,000
YEAR 35	INTERSTATE					
	LONGITUDINAL SHLD JT R&S	100.00%	4,000 LIN FT	\$2.00	\$8,000	
	CENTERLINE JT R&S	100.00%	2,000 LIN FT	\$2.00	\$4,000	
	RANDOM CRACK R&S	50.00%	2,000 LIN FT	\$2.00	\$4,000	
	REFLECTIVE TRANSVERSE CRACK R&S	40.00%	1,286 LIN FT	\$2.00	\$2,572	
	PD PVMT PATCH M&F HMA SURF 1.50"	0.10%	5 SQ YD	\$77.98	\$390	
	PWFn =	0.3554	PW =	0.3554	X \$18,962	\$6,739
VEAD 40	INTERCTATE					
YEAR 40	INTERSTATE PAVEMENT PATCH CLASS B	0.50%	27 SQ YD	\$150.00	\$4,050	
	LONGITUDINAL SHLD JT R&S	100.00%	4,000 LIN FT	\$2.00	\$8,000	
	CENTERLINE JT R&S	100.00%	2,000 LIN FT	\$2.00	\$4,000	
	REFLECTIVE TRANSVERSE CRACK R&S	60.00%	1,930 LIN FT	\$2.00	\$3,860	
	RANDOM CRACK R&S	50.00%	2,000 LIN FT	\$2.00	\$4,000	
	PD PVMT PATCH M&F HMA SURF 1.50"	0.50%	27 SQ YD	\$77.98	\$2,105	
	PWFn =	0.3066	PW =			\$7,975
						\$130,146
	ROUTINE MAINTENANCE ACTIVITY		0.76 Lane Miles	\$0.00	\$0	\$0
45	YEAR LIFE CYCLE CRFn = 0.040	7852			FE-CYCLE COST COST PER MILE	\$130,146 \$28,026